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Achieving Success Through Partnerships

Every individual and organization can be more successful when they work with others toward a common goal. That fact holds true for ASPET, as we recognize that we can accomplish more when we partner with other like-minded organizations looking to benefit the field of pharmacology.

ASPET encourages partnerships because it helps many stakeholders, especially our members. We are interested in partnering with others to help our members by:

- Igniting innovation and cultivating collaboration within the dynamic field of pharmacology.
- Expanding career development opportunities.
- Amplifying career prospects through valuable industry connections.
- Remaining at the forefront of industry trends by accessing the latest products and services.
- Building a robust community for the advancement of pharmacology.
- Providing additional funding for ASPET's annual meeting and programs.

When it comes to partnerships, ASPET is open and interested in a wide variety of options. With ASPET now holding its own stand-alone annual meetings, we have more flexibility to create partnerships around the annual meeting experience. Whether exhibiting or sponsoring to connect with meeting attendees or using a career center table to find candidates for your graduate programs and open positions, we have a variety of options to partner with ASPET as part of the ASPET 2024 Annual Meeting.

Beyond the annual meeting, though, ASPET is looking to build enduring partnerships that last all year long. If your organization would benefit from connecting with the ASPET community, we’d love to start a conversation about ways to help pharmacology together. You can initiate that discussion by emailing us at partnerwithus@aspet.org.

Partnering with ASPET can provide unique opportunities for your organization, such as:

- Gaining exclusive access to cutting-edge research within the field.
- Connecting with passionate pharmacology experts worldwide.
- Showcasing your organization to top-tier students and talent across the country.
- Promoting your organization’s goods and services directly to users and decision makers.
- Supporting the growth and education of numerous scientists, leaders and influencers.
- Seizing the opportunity to be part of an extraordinary initiative, leaving a lasting impact on the future of pharmacology.

Help us in building meaningful connections! Together, let’s create a welcoming space for growth and shared success within our community. Join us in creating a stronger home for pharmacology.

Dave Jackson, MBA, CAE
Executive Officer, ASPET
Fecal Microbiota Transplantation
By Rebecca J. Anderson, PhD

When we go to the doctor with an earache, urinary tract infection, or bacterial pneumonia, we expect to get an antibiotic. Doctors could take a swab and do a culture to identify the specific microbe and then prescribe a targeted drug to kill it. But that takes several days, during which we continue to suffer, and the infection might progress to serious complications. Instead, doctors usually prescribe a broad-spectrum antibiotic, and the odds are good that we will immediately feel much better.

The downside, of course, is that this shotgun approach contributes to the development of resistant bacterial strains. A broad-spectrum antibiotic also takes out a lot of innocent bacteria, including some of the “good guys” in our gastrointestinal tract. To compensate for that (and the accompanying antibiotic-induced diarrhea), the doctor may also instruct us to eat a reasonable amount of yogurt, a probiotic that helps repopulate our gut microbes.

This strategy usually works. But in some cases, particularly in hospitalized, immunocompromised, and elderly people, or when patients take broad-spectrum antibiotics long-term, the diarrhea gets out of control, beyond the ability of even the most potent probiotic yogurt. This condition is called pseudomembranous colitis, and in most cases, the culprit is a toxin produced by Clostridium difficile.

Read and share this story online thepharmacologist.org

Preparation of fecal slurry into capsules
OpenBiome, openbiome.org/about-us/mission
In 1893, J.M.T. Finney was the first to report pseudomembranous colitis. He observed changes in the intestinal lining of a 22-year-old postoperative patient who was being treated by the renowned Johns Hopkins physician, William Osler.\textsuperscript{1–3}

Pseudomembranous colitis is an anatomically identified inflammation of the colon. Yellow-white plaques emerge to form an elevated “pseudomembrane” on the mucosal lining of the intestine.

Pseudomembranous colitis can result from a variety of conditions including Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, intestinal obstruction, colon cancer, leukemia, severe burns, shock, uremia, heavy metal poisoning, hemolytic-uremic syndrome, shigellosis, neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis, ischemic colitis, and Hirschsprung disease.\textsuperscript{1,4}

In the early 1950s, pseudomembranous colitis (and the accompanying diarrhea) became recognized as a common complication of antibiotic use.\textsuperscript{1,2}
The *Clostridium* Connection

*Clostridium difficile* was first isolated from the stool of a healthy infant by Hall and O'Toole in 1935. The name reflected the difficulty they had in culturing and isolating it. They found that *C. difficile* produced a toxin that was highly lethal to mice. It was between 10- and 100-fold less toxic than the extremely potent toxin produced by botulinum bacteria.

*C. difficile* is a Gram-positive bacterium and a normal constituent in the gut. It is one of more than 200 genera in the Firmicutes phylum, which along with Bacteroidetes, comprises 90% of the gut microbiota.

Gut microbes promote digestion by producing metabolites including short-chain fatty acids, assist absorption of nutrients, support the synthesis of essential vitamins like vitamin B, promote enzymatic activity of the mucosa, provide a barrier to pathogens and toxins, play a protective role in assisting the immune system, and even metabolize some drugs.

In a healthy gut, the spore-forming bacteria of the Firmicutes phylum play an important role in controlling the growth of *C. difficile*. In 1978, W Lance George and colleagues at UCLA discovered the connection between *C. difficile* and pseudomembranous colitis. When a broad-spectrum antibiotic disrupts the delicate balance of gut flora, pathogenic strains of *C. difficile* have the opportunity to colonize, dominate, and produce two toxins, A and B.

Those toxins inflame the colonic epithelium, leading to clinical symptoms varying from mild diarrhea to severe life-threatening pseudomembranous colitis. Although it can result from many other conditions, the leading cause of pseudomembranous colitis and antibiotic-induced diarrhea is now *C. difficile*. It accounts for more than 450,000 cases in the U.S. each year. And as antibiotic use has increased, so has the incidence of *C. difficile* colitis.

The fundamental problem is not the presence of *C. difficile* per se, but rather the absence of healthy flora to keep its growth in check. Mild cases of *C. difficile* infection require little more than supportive measures and discontinuation of antimicrobials. Those patients experience no further symptoms.

But if unchecked, *C. difficile* can take over the large intestine. In severe cases (characterized by pseudomembranous colitis), patients experience watery diarrhea and as many as 15–30 bowel movements per day. Diarrhea and colitis can last for weeks or months.

*C. difficile* infection has become the leading cause of hospital-acquired disease. Other risk factors are age (over 65 years) and immunosuppression. *C. difficile* colitis mortality rates as high as 24% have been reported in critically ill patients. It accounts for more than 20,000 deaths in the U.S. each year.

**First Line Treatment**

Early work using a cell cytotoxicity assay to detect *C. difficile* toxin B confirmed that antibiotics (specifically vancomycin and metronidazole) would inhibit pseudomembranous colitis in a hamster model of *C. difficile* infection. This provided the rationale for treating *C. difficile* infected patients.

Currently, an initial episode of *C. difficile* infection is treated with oral fidaxomicin (preferred), vancomycin, or metronidazole. Fidaxomicin has a narrow spectrum of activity, which limits its effect on the gut microbiome and is associated with higher rates of sustained response and fewer recurrences.
A Conversation with ASPET’s Council Member and FASEB Board Representative, Jerry Madukwe, PhD

Jerry Madukwe, PhD is an Ex Officio member of the ASPET Council currently serving as the FASEB Board Representative. Dr. Madukwe is the editor of *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences* at Cell Press.

**How did you first get involved with ASPET?**

I became involved with ASPET in 2016 while pursuing my graduate studies. Dr. Alan Smrcka, my advisor at the time, encouraged me to submit an abstract and register to attend the ASPET Annual Meeting at Experimental Biology (EB) Conference 2017. I presented my work at EB 2017 in Chicago and engaged in the “March for Science,” discovering the value of presenting research and interacting with fellow scientists. This experience spurred my continued involvement in subsequent EB conferences. In 2020, my engagement with ASPET deepened as I was competitively selected as an ASPET Washington Fellow. This fellowship provided an opportunity for me to advocate for young and foreign-born scientists in the U.S. science talent pipeline and contribute to the awareness of funding policy priorities through publications and meetings at the U.S. Capitol. Since then, I’ve actively served on multiple committees within the Society, including the Young Scientists and Science Policy Committees.

**What do you want the ASPET membership to know about you and your ideas on how to move the organization forward during your term?**

I want ASPET members to know that I am enthusiastic about engaging with scientists worldwide, from students to established...
professionals, to understand their latest research and address crucial issues in advancing their careers and the field of pharmacology. With my experience on the Young Scientists and Science Policy committees, I am attuned to the challenges faced by students and early-career scientists in navigating their paths in biomedical sciences. To move ASPET forward, I would love to see an expansion in programming that aids both senior and early-career scientists in overcoming career challenges. Additionally, I would love to see robust dialogue centered around policies that specifically impact students and early-career scientists, including redefining the role of post-docs in today’s biomedical research landscape. Addressing these issues will instill confidence in members regarding the future of careers in pharmacological research, ensuring progress for both the field and the society.

What has been your proudest accomplishment in your career so far?

Beyond my scientific contributions during my graduate and postdoctoral career, my proudest accomplishment lies in presenting my research, sharing insights on scientific research capacity building and conducting workshops on scientific communication across three continents. These endeavors have facilitated collaborations among scientists from diverse locations and offered mentorship opportunities for students in remote areas. Witnessing the success and achievement of these young students and mentees, whose paths crossed mine through these activities, brings immense satisfaction.

What advice would you give young scientists who are just starting out in their careers?

My advice to young scientists embarking on their careers would be to prioritize preparation and steer clear of procrastination in their endeavors. In the biomedical sciences, challenges abound, making supportive mentors crucial. Young scientists should be very careful in choosing their mentors. Lastly, paying meticulous attention to detail, maintaining an open mindset and confronting challenges fearlessly can lead to rewarding outcomes in this field.

What is one thing ASPET members should know about FASEB?

ASPET is one of the member societies of FASEB. Both ASPET and FASEB share a dedication to advancing science, with a special focus on involving and nurturing the next generation of researchers. The ongoing collaboration between these societies is instrumental in propelling the progress of science and pharmacology research.

Register for the ADDC/ASPET Colloquium!

Meet your future collaborators in drug discovery. Be a part of the colloquium that bridges today’s research discoveries to tomorrow’s therapies. Learn more and register by April 18 to receive discounts!
Elevate Your Brand at ASPET 2024!

Gain prime recognition throughout our 2024 meeting as an ASPET sponsor.

Secure your exhibit booth early for unparalleled access to engaged professionals in pharmacology and experimental therapeutics.

Explore exclusive career center tables to connect directly with top talent.

Reserve your spot now and seize the opportunity to shine at ASPET!
ASPET Members Elect
New Leadership

ASPET members have elected new Council and Division officers for 2024–2025. Elected candidates will begin their terms on July 1, 2024. Congratulations to ASPET newly elected leadership.

Meet the new Council and officers:

**COUNCIL**

**President-Elect**
- Randy Hall

**Secretary/Treasurer-Elect**
- John Traynor

**Councilor-Elect**
- Daniela Salvemini

**DIVISIONS**

**Cancer Pharmacology**

**Chair-Elect**
- Lawrence Boise

**Secretary/Treasurer-Elect**
- April Risinger

**Drug Metabolism and Disposition**

**Chair-Elect**
- Bhagwat Prasad

**Secretary/Treasurer-Elect**
- Jed Lampe

**Drug Discovery and Development**

**Chair-Elect**
- Harshini Neelakantan

**Secretary/Treasurer-Elect**
- Wei Li

**Molecular Pharmacology**

**Chair-Elect**
- Mikel Garcia-Marcos

**Secretary/Treasurer-Elect**
- Laura Wingler
Pharmaco Corner is a dedicated space where pharmacology experts can discuss issues that affect them professionally and personally. The blog connects science and society through various pharmacology disciplines. Send your pitches to pharmacocorner@aspet.org.

The Pharmacologist wants writers interested in contributing human interest and science stories focused on pharmacology. Contact us at thepharmacologist@aspet.org. Please include links to writing samples.
A Day on the Hill: My Science Advocacy Experience

By Michael F. Jarvis, PhD, FBPhS, FASPET

As part of the ASPET Council meeting last November, I had the opportunity to join members of the ASPET staff and Council for a visit with House and Senate legislators on Capitol Hill. This was a great chance to communicate ASPET’s vision and mission and discuss ongoing Society activities with policy makers.

My team visited the offices of Congressmen Mike Quigley (IL) and Chuy Garcia (IL) and Sen. Tammy Duckworth (IL). Key discussion topics included the value of pharmacology as an integrative science and its scientific impact on healthcare advances, the need for robust and sustained funding of the National Institutes of Health and the National Science Foundation, and the importance of animal research in biomedical science. All these conversations were highly interactive and illustrate the value of ASPET’s engagement to inform and collaborate with members of Congress to shape key policy decisions affecting biomedical science and the professional lives of researchers and healthcare providers. I was also impressed by the openness of these members of Congress for future interaction with ASPET and other research-based associations to provide our collective scientific perspective and expertise on complex biomedical issues and questions.

Advocacy is a key pillar of ASPET’s 2023–2027 Strategic Plan. ASPET members generate cutting-edge science that directly impacts the evolution of disease interventions. ASPET’s advocacy efforts leverage the insights our members provide to help policy makers craft effective legislation that ensures a robust and rigorous biomedical science ecosystem. Additionally, ASPET’s advocacy also elevates the visibility of our Society and its role in advancing the science and profession of pharmacology.

On a personal level, I was delighted to participate in the ASPET Capitol Hill Day and to have the opportunity to interact with these congressional leaders and their respective staff. I encourage our membership to actively participate in ASPET’s advocacy initiatives as these interactions are an important component of ASPET’s support of our membership and science.

As ASPET 2024 approaches, be sure to take advantage of the opportunity to participate in the ASPET Capitol Hill Day taking place before the Annual Meeting on May 15, 2024. Indicate your interest when you register for ASPET 2024.

Dr. Michael F. Jarvis currently serves as the ASPET Past-President. He is the former Editor-in-Chief of the journal Pharmacology Research & Perspectives and has been an ASPET member since 1992.
On Their Way…

Each month, the editors of three of the American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutic’s (ASPET) journals choose who they call their Highlighted Trainee Authors. These early-career scientists are recognized for their innovative research published in The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Drug Metabolism and Disposition, and Molecular Pharmacology. This feature showcases these young scientists, demonstrates what drives them and reveals why pharmacology is important to them.

Nayara Braga Emidio

Nayara Braga Emidio, PhD, is a postdoctoral research fellow at the National Institutes of Health. Emidio enjoyed learning about how things work on a molecular level, and she also got a chance to get some hands-on experience in research labs.

“Seeing how we could design molecules to control biological processes and then figure out what happened was super cool to me,” Emidio said. “This hands-on experience doing science made me excited to pursue a research career.”

Emidio plans to continue her journey in research and development and hopefully contribute to discoveries and innovations in my field. She hopes her discoveries aid the development of innovative therapeutics with advantageous properties that could lead to improved clinical outcomes.

By having her research published in Molecular Pharmacology Emidio “hopes our work with nanobody-ligand conjugates sparks others to explore the vast potential of neutral allosteric pharmacophores with other receptors,” she explained.

“ASPET is a well-established scientific society and the prestige associated with its journals in the pharmacology research community makes this recognition particularly meaningful.”

Lisa Schlein

Lisa Schlein, DVM, PhD, MS, MBA, Diplomate of the American College of Veterinary Pathologists (Clinical), is an Assistant Professor, Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology Department at Colorado State University (CSU).

During her life Schlein dealt with personal losses to cancer. Upon learning about CSU’s comparative oncology program, she became fascinated by the idea of helping to advance human oncology using naturally occurring canine disease as a model.

“Witnessing the impact of research on patient outcomes at CSU continually inspires me, and as a clinician-scientist I aim to improve diagnostic assays, and to evaluate therapeutics that may be used in both canine and human cancers,” said Schlein.

She is honored that her recent PhD work is featured in The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, and she is excited to continue contributing to the comparative oncology field. As a passionate educator, Schlein hopes to meaningfully advance veterinary educational practices.

“I am particularly interested in evaluating shared mechanisms of pathogenesis between species, understanding how tumor cell populations evolve over time, and ultimately, in improving care outcomes for both species,” Schlein stated.
Get Involved with ASPET

ASPET welcomes and values your time, talent, leadership and willingness to help advance ASPET’s mission. Choose from more than 25 opportunities to volunteer in a variety of areas!

Submit your application by April 1, 2024!
Unfortunately, *C. difficile* infection is sometimes difficult to control. Up to 35% of patients experience recurrence of diarrhea after this course of antibiotic treatment.\(^2,4,5,10,12–14,17\)

Recurrent *C. difficile* infection is treated with bezlotoxumab (an anti-*C. difficile* toxin B antibody), along with a more aggressive pulsed or tapered regimen of antibiotics.\(^2,4,5,12,17\) Adding bezlotoxumab to antibiotic therapy is especially recommended in patients who have risk factors for recurrence (e.g., ≥ 65 years old, severe *C. difficile* infection, or immunosuppression).\(^13\)

For many patients, this course of treatment is successful. But repeated use of antibiotics may predispose patients to further relapses and chronic, recurrent *C. difficile* colitis.\(^2,10\) After three recurrent episodes, patients have up to a 65% chance of additional recurrences, despite antibiotic treatment.\(^5,10,13,16,17\)

Possible explanations for the recurrences are: persistence of *C. difficile* spores, diminished response to the toxin B antibody, and persistent intestinal disturbance caused by the reduced diversity of the gut flora.\(^5,14\)

There are 200,000 cases of recurrent *C. difficile* infection in the U.S. each year.\(^18\) And the risk of multiple recurrences has increased in recent years.\(^5,13\) Some of those multiple relapse cases are incredibly debilitating and extremely difficult to stop without continuous antibiotic therapy.\(^1\)

Alternatives to antibiotics have been explored, including immunoglobulins, probiotics, bile salt binding resins (cholestyramine), toxin-binding molecules, *C. difficile* vaccines, and whey prepared from vaccinated cows.\(^1,4,5,10,12\) Unfortunately, none of these has proven to be effective.\(^14\)

**A Rationale for Recycling**

In 1958 (before *C. difficile* was recognized as the diarrhea-producing culprit), Ben Eiseman, the Chief of Surgery at Denver General Hospital, and his colleagues used enemas of donor feces to successfully treat four patients who had pseudomembranous colitis.\(^5,7,19\)

A few historical references describe the benefits of this type of treatment. The oldest account dates to the 4th century, when a Chinese physician, Ge Hong, advised consuming fresh stool from a healthy neighbor for those suffering from food poisoning or severe diarrhea.\(^19\) The fecal suspension was called “yellow soup”.\(^7\) But Eiseman’s rationale probably came from the extensively documented reports of animals that benefit from consuming fecal matter.\(^10\)

In the 17th century, the Italian anatomist, Fabricius Aquapendente, reported feeding viable enteric bacteria to animals that had lost their ability to ruminate. A portion of cud that had already been chewed by a healthy ruminant animal was fed to the sick animal, which immediately stimulated chewing and restored its health.\(^10\)

Dogs eat poop because they are craving something in the feces that is missing in their existing diet. A similar behavior is seen among rodents and birds.\(^20\)

Some species, for example baby rabbits and panda cubs, rely heavily on their parents’ poop. The parents’ poop inoculates the offspring’s
immature gut microbiome and may be the only way their intestinal tract can obtain highly specialized, species-specific microorganisms.\textsuperscript{20,21}

Cross-species fecal ingestion might also work. In World War II, German soldiers in the Afrika Corps consumed fresh, warm camel feces, which was recommended by local Bedouins as a remedy for bacterial dysentery.\textsuperscript{7,21} Efficacy was probably due to the antibiotic subtilisin, generated by \textit{Bacillus subtilis} in the camel’s feces.\textsuperscript{21}

**FMT**

Following Eiseman’s initial report, and especially after recognition that antibiotic-induced diarrhea was caused by \textit{C. difficile}, there was a sound rationale for using a procedure that reintroduced “healthy” gut microbes to ailing patients.

Dozens of researchers confirmed Eiseman’s success by administering human fecal matter to patients with \textit{C. difficile} infections in a procedure that is generally referred to as fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT). Most of the published reports were case studies involving only a few patients each.

Because these were all exploratory studies, the FMT protocols, not surprisingly, varied regarding the type of donor, preparation of the stool, pretreatment(s) of the recipients, routes of administration, endpoints, and duration of follow up.

Initially, investigators recruited donors who were closely related to the recipient. The order of preference was domestic partners, family members, those living in the same household, and finally close friends.\textsuperscript{4,5,12,22} The theoretical rationale was that intimate contact between the donor and recipient raised the likelihood that pathogens and flora would have already been shared between them and minimized the risk of transmitting new pathogens.\textsuperscript{2,10,12,23} But there was no rationale for excluding unrelated healthy volunteers.\textsuperscript{5} Some investigators successfully recruited medical students, surgical residents, and unrelated healthy individuals as donors.\textsuperscript{10}

Regardless of the source, most investigators took great care to ensure that the donated stool was free of pathogens. Many of them employed the procedures that are used to screen transplant donors and their donated organs.\textsuperscript{10} The donors’ blood was tested for antibodies to HIV, hepatitis, cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, etc. Their stool was screened for common transmissible diseases including parasites, \textit{C. difficile}, and enteropathogenic bacteria.\textsuperscript{12,14,23} The procedures might also include culturing successive stool specimens and/or microscopically confirming that the stool was negative for protozoa, worms, and ova.\textsuperscript{10}

The microbial viability of fresh fecal samples is about 6 hours, which meant that the laborious screening of donors and the donated stool was logistically challenging.\textsuperscript{15} Typically, investigators timed the donation so that the FMT was prepared and administered on the same day that the stool was collected.\textsuperscript{4,5,10,12,14}

The donated stool was usually homogenized with water or normal saline and filtered to remove particulate matter.\textsuperscript{4,5,12} The resulting slurry needed to be sufficiently liquid to pass through a tube, but the amount of water was minimized to ensure maximal microbial concentration. The volume was generally 200 to 300 ml and contained up to 300 grams of donor stool.\textsuperscript{4,10,12}

---

The microbial viability of fresh fecal samples is about 6 hours, which meant that the laborious screening of donors and the donated stool was logistically challenging.
The slurry was administered upward by an enema or through a colonoscope, or downward through a gastroscope, nasogastric tube, or nasojejunal tube.

**Frozen Capsules**

The logistical challenges of preparing fresh stool suspensions from related donors hindered the development of a widely applicable treatment protocol. In addition, administration by intubation or colonoscope required the appropriate clinical facilities and medical expertise.

To circumvent these difficulties, a group at Massachusetts General Hospital developed a frozen capsule form of FMT that could be prepared in advance, stored for reasonable periods, and administered orally.

After the donors and their donated stool were screened for pathogens, the filtered slurry was concentrated by centrifugation and pipetted into capsules, which were then secondarily sealed inside slightly larger capsules. The capsules were stored in an ultracold freezer. The total dose (15 thawed capsules on each of 2 consecutive days) contained about 48 grams of concentrated fecal material derived from a single donor.

This procedure allowed healthy volunteer donors to be screened in advance, and storage of the frozen material permitted retesting of donors, if necessary, which further increased the safety of the procedure.

There was also the convenience factor. Colonoscopy and intubation delivery are invasive, resource intensive, costly, and need to be administered by specialists in a clinic or hospital. By contrast, oral capsules could be administered in an office setting.

Oral capsules provided the same benefits as the infusion methods with less of an “ick” factor. Despite the “lack of aesthetic appeal,” the recipients rated their experience as “not at all unpleasant.”

**Uncontrolled Success**

FMT efficacy was typically defined as resolution of diarrhea without relapse, and the outcomes were dramatic. In most cases, the patients felt much better (that is, marked resolution of symptoms) within 12–24 hours. Their bowel habits soon returned to what they had been prior to their first episode of *C. difficile* colitis.
More than 75% of treated patients were symptom-free after a single FMT infusion.\textsuperscript{7,16} Sometimes, a second or third FMT infusion was required, and the overall efficacy rate (after one or more treatments) rose to above 90% of treated patients.\textsuperscript{2,5,16,19,22} Impressive efficacy was also achieved in immunocompromised, severely ill, and elderly patients. By contrast, standard antibiotic regimens benefited only 30% of them.\textsuperscript{19}

Analysis showed that the FMT recipients’ fecal bacterial diversity had increased, similar to that of healthy donors.\textsuperscript{4,14} Patients who had been diagnosed with pseudomembranous colitis not only experienced resolution of their diarrhea but also the pseudomembrane in their colon disappeared.\textsuperscript{10}

The precise mechanisms of action of FMT are unclear but probably involve recolonization of flora with missing components, which restores the gut microbial balance and reestablishes the host-defense against \textit{C. difficile} colonization.\textsuperscript{4,5,7,10,12}

Another major mechanism could be the direct antagonistic activity of the normal flora to \textit{C. difficile}. Bacteria-generated bacteriocins, for example, possess powerful antimicrobial properties. This view is supported by in vitro studies, which showed that normal fecal flora antagonize \textit{C. difficile}.\textsuperscript{10} These microbiome-generated substances seem to have the added power of eliminating bacterial spores, which antibiotics cannot eradicate.\textsuperscript{23} So, organisms in the FMT not only cure the current infection, but also prevent future germination and colonization of the hardy \textit{C. difficile} spores.\textsuperscript{10}

Most investigators reported that FMT-treated patients remained symptom-free for the duration of follow up, which varied from 5, 10, 13, to 16 years, depending on the case study.\textsuperscript{10,12,19,23} Patients who remained symptom-free at the end of the study’s follow up period were considered “cured.” Those FMT-treated patients who redeveloped \textit{C. difficile} infections typically had been prescribed antibiotics for unrelated infections and were considered reinfections rather than relapses.\textsuperscript{12}

Not only was the efficacy of FMT impressive, but also the procedure was remarkably safe.\textsuperscript{4} The only side effects reported by recipients were mild to moderate cramping, belching, bloating, flatulence, or abdominal distension on the day of treatment.\textsuperscript{5,14,15,17} In most patients, these effects were self-limiting and resolved within a few hours or a few days.\textsuperscript{14,15,17} Transmission of communicable diseases was not observed in any patient.\textsuperscript{19}

### Controlling Treatment

Several authors reviewed and summarized the reports published by researchers more than 30 years and which covered the results of more than a thousand patients.\textsuperscript{4,5,7,10,19,24} Their meta-analysis of these exploratory studies showed that efficacy was “dose” dependent, with FMT volumes of 500 ml consistently providing around 90% cure rates.\textsuperscript{4,14,16}

When an optimal FMT dose was administered, the analysis showed that there was no difference in efficacy regardless of the route of administration: enema, colonoscope, nasogastric tube, nasojejunal tube, or oral capsules.\textsuperscript{7,12,15,16,23,24} Efficacy was also the same, whether the donor was a domestic partner or unrelated to the recipient, and frozen preparations were equally effective as freshly prepared stool samples.\textsuperscript{16,24}

Although these accumulated case studies built impressive circumstantial evidence supporting the efficacy and safety of FMT, randomized, placebo-controlled trials were lacking. For one thing, it was difficult to find a suitable placebo resembling fecal matter.\textsuperscript{10} On the other hand, some investigators rationalized that the uncontrolled results were so impressive, and
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recurrent *C. difficile* infections were otherwise so difficult to treat, that a “placebo effect” was unlikely.\(^\text{12}\)

The first randomized controlled trial of FMT was published in 2013 by a Dutch group led by Els van Nood.\(^\text{14}\) This open-label study was stopped after the interim analysis, because most patients in the control groups (receiving vancomycin and bowel lavage) had relapsed.\(^\text{14}\)

The results showed that FMT was significantly more effective for treating recurrent *C. difficile* infection than vancomycin treatment. The overall cure rate in the FMT group was 94%. Also, the investigators saw increased fecal bacterial diversity in the FMT recipients, similar to that in healthy donors.\(^\text{14}\)

Analysis of 7 subsequent randomized controlled trials reported equally impressive cure rates.\(^\text{24}\)

**Stool Banks**

As the demand for FMT grew, not all interested physicians had the facilities to screen and prepare stool donations. To meet the growing need, stool banks were established.\(^\text{25}\)

In 2013, OpenBiome was founded as an independent nonprofit stool bank (run out of a laboratory at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology) to provide FMT samples for investigational purposes. Healthy volunteers were examined by internal medicine physician and underwent a series of laboratory tests. The stools of qualified donors were kept in quarantine until all assessments (clinical, serological testing, etc.) had been completed.\(^\text{7}\) Qualified stools were then prepared, and the slurry was stored in a freezer until it was shipped to the investigator for infusion by colonoscopy or enema.

Over the following decade, OpenBiome supplied more than 60,000 FMT preparations to clinicians and investigators.\(^\text{6}\)

**In 2013, OpenBiome was founded as an independent nonprofit stool bank to provide FMT samples for investigational purposes.**

**DIY—Homemade FMT**

FMT was so simple that some desperate patients took the initiative to prepare homemade FMT by collecting stools from family or friends and making the slurry with their kitchen blender and coffee filter paper.\(^\text{25}\) Do-it-yourself videos of the procedure were posted on YouTube.

Individual investigators and stool banks like OpenBiome took great care in screening donors, but the screening procedures were not standardized or regulated.\(^\text{25}\) Furthermore, unlike these scientists, the do-it-yourselfers probably lacked an appreciation of possible disease transmission and also lacked the proper facilities to screen for pathogens.\(^\text{6}\)

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and ethically minded investigators grew increasingly concerned that the wide-ranging quality controls and lack of regulatory standards posed serious safety risks. Without those guardrails, there was the potential for exposing unsuspecting patients to potentially dangerous fecal pathogens.\(^\text{6}\)

Despite a recognized need for policy and guidelines, the FDA was uncertain how to regulate FMT. Was it a drug or a bodily tissue? Like a drug, FMT consisted of microbes in feces that changed how the body functions. On the other hand, because of those very microbes, FMT was like a biological tissue.\(^\text{6}\)

FMT is also a method and could be evaluated as a procedure. FDA regulates procedures (for example, tissue and organ transplants) according to an entirely different set of rules. Then again, FMT could be considered a combination drug and delivery system, similar to an EpiPen or asthma inhaler.\(^\text{6}\)
Regulating FMT

The FDA was reluctant to create a new regulatory product category, just to address FMT. Instead, regulators mulled over how to fit it into one of the existing regulated product categories.6 In 2013, FDA had to make some firm decisions, because a couple of companies filed Investigational New Drug (IND) Applications. Those companies, Ferring Pharmaceuticals and Seres Therapeutics, wanted to begin formal clinical trials and intended to develop commercial FMT products.

The FDA officially categorized FMT as a biological for regulatory purposes.6 From that point on, FMT was considered “investigational,” and any physician wishing to perform the procedure needed to file an IND. Because INDs involve considerable paperwork, most practicing physicians were unable or unwilling to comply with this administrative burden. Many doctors with C. difficile infected patients spoke out against the new IND requirements.6

The FDA issued a guidance, which went back and forth in drafts and revisions for almost a decade. The final guidance was issued in 2022.26 FDA regulators indicated that they would
regulate FMTs with a light touch and practice “enforcement discretion.” That is, they would politely look the other way regarding doctors who treated C. difficile infected patients with FMT outside the IND-clinical trial setting, as long as they followed specific guidelines (e.g., patient informed consent, donor and donated stool screening, FMT storage conditions, etc.).

Stool banks, on the other hand, were required to comply with FDA’s Good Manufacturing Practices, and investigators using FMT from a stool bank needed to comply with IND requirements.

During its monitoring, FDA received several reports of invasive infections in immunocompromised patients who had received an FMT product supplied by a stool bank. Consequently, in 2020, FDA and OpenBiome agreed to implement even stricter testing for pathogens such as E. coli toxins.

Although no approved FMT product was yet commercially available, the American College of Gastroenterology issued guidelines in 2021 strongly recommending FMT for patients experiencing recurrent C. difficile infections. ACG admitted that the quality of the published clinical evidence was “moderate” or “low” (due few and small controlled trials) but acknowledged that clinical experience had repeatedly shown that the procedure yielded high cure rates.

The ACG also set up a registry and encouraged physicians to enter data regarding short-term adverse outcomes and long-term safety concerns. This FMT National Registry is funded by the National Institutes of Health and aims to track 4000 FMT recipients for 10 years.

Also in 2021, OpenBiome began winding down its operations, because it appeared that FMT commercial products were nearing regulatory approval. Instead of continuing to produce its own FMT products, the company established a partnership with the University of Minnesota’s Microbiome Therapeutics Program. The University of Minnesota manufactured FMT preparations for OpenBiome under Good Manufacturing Practices. This supplemented OpenBiome’s ongoing stool bank service, which continued to supply FMT for investigational studies and filled the gap until the first commercial product was approved.

Approved at Last

On November 9, 2022, the FDA approved Rebyota®, the first FMT product for treating recurrent C. difficile infections. Multiple outside experts testified that it would be beneficial to have a regulated product on the market. Not only had physicians given FMT treatments successfully for decades (which supported its efficacy and safety), but also do-it-yourselfers were proliferating, and physicians were increasingly concerned about the hazards of this unregulated activity.

Rebyota is a live fecal microbiota suspension of rigorously screened stools collected from healthy human volunteers. Each bag of fecal suspension contains the stool from a single donor and is stored in an ultracold freezer until shipped to the distributor or end user. It is thawed for 24 hours before administration. Treatment consists of a single 150 ml dose administered as an enema.

In masked, placebo-controlled trials, 289 adults with recurrent C. difficile infection were randomized to receive Rebyota or placebo (normal saline), following a course of antibiotic treatment. The rate of treatment success (absence of diarrhea for 8 weeks) was 70.6% with Rebyota vs. 57.5% with the antibiotic-only placebo. More than 90% of patients who were symptom-free at 8 weeks remained symptom-free through 6 months.
An Oral Option

On April 26, 2023, the FDA approved Vowst® for treating recurrent C. difficile infection in adults.¹¹ Vowst is an oral capsule containing live fecal microbiota spores. It was the first orally administered microbiota-based suspension to be approved.¹³

Antibiotic-induced loss of beneficial Firmicutes bacteria enables C. difficile spores (which are not killed by antibiotics) to germinate, proliferate, and produce toxins, creating a cycle of recurrent disease.⁹

The rationale for Vowst is that purified spore-forming Firmicutes bacteria will inhibit C. difficile spore germination, compete metabolically with C. difficile for essential nutrients, modulate bile-acid profiles, and/or reestablish resistance to C. difficile colonization.⁹

The source material for Vowst is stool from healthy human donors.³⁰ The stools are tested for a panel of transmissible pathogens and then treated with ethanol to kill fecal Firmicutes organisms but not their spores. The resulting spore-laden material is formulated to the desired spore concentration and filled in capsules that are then over-encapsulated.³⁰ The product has a three-year shelf life when refrigerated. The recommended dose is four capsules daily for three consecutive days.¹³,³⁰

The Phase 3 trial was double-blind, randomized, and placebo-controlled in 182 patients with recurrent C. difficile infection.⁹ The rate of treatment success (less than 3 bowel movements per day and symptom resolution) at 24 weeks was 78.7% in the Vowst group and 52.7% in the placebo group, which received only antibiotics.⁹,³¹ The investigators noted that one-third of patients in the placebo group dropped out before week eight, mostly due to recurrence of C. difficile infection.⁹

More Uses

FMT has been mostly applied to treat chronic recurrent C. difficile-associated diarrhea and pseudomembranous colitis. Scattered case reports have indicated that FMT also induces high remission rates or improvement of symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome, ulcerative colitis, and inflammatory bowel disease.⁴,¹⁰,¹²,¹⁸,²³

Inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome have been causally associated with disturbances in the composition of gut flora, but the specific bacterial pathogens in these conditions have not yet been identified.¹⁰,²³ Consequently, probiotics or FMT (the ultimate therapeutic bacterial mixture) may have value in treating such disorders, especially when patients are refractory to other treatments.¹⁰

Because the results for these indications have been variable and the numbers of patients are low, the data are too limited to draw firm conclusions and establish treatment guidelines.¹⁹ It has been recommended that patients with

On November 9, 2022, the FDA approved Rebyota®, the first FMT product for treating recurrent C. difficile infections.
inflammatory bowel disease or irritable bowel syndrome should be treated with FMT only within protocol-specific clinical trials.\textsuperscript{7,19}

Since the 1990s, FMT has also been explored to treat many other conditions including chronic constipation, Crohn’s disease, metabolic syndrome, chronic fatigue syndrome, and even multiple sclerosis.\textsuperscript{19}

In recent years, researchers have discovered that there are strong correlations between the gut microbiome and other parts of the body.\textsuperscript{7} Existing evidence suggests that disruption of gut microbes can contribute to numerous diseases including diabetes, atherosclerosis, atopic dermatitis, and autism.\textsuperscript{7} This provides some justification for FMT as a treatment option. Even without knowing the specific microbial species involved, healthy fecal bacteria may still counteract pathogen(s) and reestablish gut homeostasis.\textsuperscript{23}

There are also anecdotal reports of people losing weight, or changing their dietary desires, after receiving FMT from a healthy donor.\textsuperscript{6} But at least one randomized clinical trial involving an FMT donor and obese recipients showed no weight loss effect.\textsuperscript{32}

Because the gut’s metabolic activity and the brain are in constant bidirectional communication, a few clinical trials are researching FMT as a possible treatment for brain disorders such as depression, anxiety, Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s disease, and sleep disorders.\textsuperscript{8}

More than 200 FMT clinical studies for dozens of disease indications are registered in clinicaltrials.gov, a number of which are randomized controlled trials.

\textbf{The Last Best Hope}

Most patients with \textit{C. difficile} infections can be effectively treated using targeted antibiotic regimens, which should remain the first line of therapy. For the subpopulation of patients with recurrent \textit{C. difficile}-induced diarrhea despite repeated antibiotic therapy, FMT is a rational, and now approved, treatment.\textsuperscript{12}

FMT has advantages over repeated antibiotic regimens, which may perpetuate or renew the disruption of gut flora. Also, FMT reduces the risk of antibiotic resistance and allergic reactions.\textsuperscript{2} It may not be perfect, but the procedure is easy, accessible, safe, and proven to be more reliable and effective than any other form of “conventional” therapy for recurrent \textit{C. difficile} infection. Despite its position as a treatment of last resort, FMT has, to the surprise of many, withstood the test of time.\textsuperscript{10}

As one investigator said, “There are few medical therapies that reverse severe illness so dramatically.”\textsuperscript{23}

\textit{References can be found on page 27.}

\textbf{Rebecca J. Anderson, PhD}

Rebecca J. Anderson holds a bachelor’s in chemistry from Coe College and earned her doctorate in pharmacology from Georgetown University. She has 25 years of experience in pharmaceutical research and development and now works as a technical writer. Her most recent book is \textit{Nevirapine and the Quest to End Pediatric AIDS}. 
In Memoriam

Dr. Kenneth E. Moore (1934–2024) served as president of ASPET in 1998. Dr. Moore was honored with ASPET's Torald Sollman award in 2005, and in 2019, he was named to the inaugural class of ASPET Fellows. In addition to president, he served ASPET as secretary-treasurer on the finance committee and as Board Chair of the Publications Committee (formerly the Publications Trustees).

In 1966, Dr. Moore was among the first professors in Michigan State University’s (MSU) Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology and later served as its chair for 14 years. As professor emeritus, he continued to teach at MSU until his retirement in 2001. He began his academic career as an associate professor in the medical school at Dartmouth College. He earned bachelor’s and master’s degrees in pharmacy from the University of Alberta and his PhD in pharmacology from the University of Michigan.

He was among the first researchers to identify the neurotransmitter dopamine. His research on brain catecholamine systems has included pioneering studies on the development of denervation super sensitivity in the central nervous system and on the biochemical mechanisms of action of psychomotor stimulants such as amphetamine.

His work has focused on hypothalamic dopamine systems, characterizing the responses of these neurons to pharmacological, endocrinological and environmental manipulations. Using neurochemical methods that he and his colleagues developed or refined, Dr. Moore demonstrated that hypothalamic dopaminergic neurons differ in many fundamental ways from the “classic” nigrostriatal and mesolimbic dopaminergic neurons.

Upcoming Events

**ASMET 2024 Annual Meeting**  
*May 16–19, 2024 · Arlington, VA*

Join us at the Hyatt Regency Crystal City, just minutes away from Washington, D.C. Register now!

**ASMET-ADDC: 3rd Academic Drug Discovery Colloquium**  
*May 19–20, 2024 · Arlington, VA*

Introducing the Next Generation of Drug Hunters

**European Federation of Pharmacological Societies 2024 Congress**  
*June 23–26, 2024 · Athens, Greece*

The Federation of European Pharmacological Societies, 9th European Congress of Pharmacology.

**British Pharmacological Society Pharmacology 2024**  
*December 10–12, 2024 · Harrogate, North Yorkshire*

Network and hear the latest developments and research in pharmacology from industry experts and emerging investigators.
Help us recruit new members and contribute to the growth and sustainability of ASPET. As an active member, you understand the valuable benefits that ASPET membership offers. Your firsthand experience makes you an ideal advocate for encouraging others to join. This campaign is the perfect opportunity for you to share your success story and inspire others to become part of our community.

A growing ASPET means greater recognition for the field, more resources and support for our members, and a louder voice with policymakers.

Learn more about how it works [here](#).
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Join us for ASPET 2024

We look forward to seeing you there!